

Programming Style or How to become a highly paid software engineer

John Morris

School of Industrial Education and Technology, KMITL

previously

Engineering, Mahasarakham University

Electrical and Computer Engineering, The University of Auckland

Iolanthe II leaves the Hauraki Gulf under full sail –
Auckland-Tauranga Race, 2007

Any High Level Programming Language

GOOD STYLE

Writing computer programs

- **After a few years of experience**
 - Programming will be easy
 - You will get it running correctly
 - 😊 2nd or 3rd try
 - ? 1st try??
 - You are not human!!
 - I sometimes achieve this ... but not too often
 - Good engineers
 - Check their work
 - Generally find improvements
 - Especially in documentation
 - Just like writing these slides
 - 😊 You will see many previous, *but now abandoned*, versions

but

Writing computer programs

but

- Programming **well** is more important
- Your audience
 - A. Boss?
 - B. Workmates?
 - C. Colleagues?
 - D. Hackers that you want to impress?
 - E. Readers of your web page?

Writing computer programs

but

- Programming **well** is more important
- Your audience
 - A. Boss?
 - B. Workmates?
 - C. Colleagues?
 - D. Hackers that you want to impress?
 - E. Readers of your web page?
- **Correct answer**
 - All of them, except maybe D ☹️

Writing computer programs

but

- Programming **well** is more important
 - A. Boss?
 - A good boss or team leader will check your code for
 - Readability
 - Logic
 - Efficiency
 - Use of correct algorithms
 - B. Workmates?
 - C. Colleagues?
 - Ones that will need to **maintain your code**
 - ☺ When you got promoted or moved for better pay
 - Your reputation will follow you

Writing computer programs

- **Your audience – side comments**
 - D. Hackers that you want to impress?**
 - ☺ Professional software engineers don't need them!
 - E. Readers of your web page?**
 - ☹ Hmmm
 - Reliability of web sites is sometimes extremely low
 - Quality is a problem also
 - Be careful
 - You can add lots of valuable information to the web
 - but*
 - please don't join those who clutter the web with false or misleading information
 - ☺ Leave that to politicians!!

Writing computer programs - Summary

- You are NOT writing code for yourself

but

- For your boss, colleagues, team mates, etc
 - Who have to understand it
 - So they can use it in their parts of the project
 - And **maintain** it
 - Estimates vary widely
 - Up to 70% of effort in a software project is maintenance
 - Add yourself after 6 months
 - If your software is valuable and works well
 - Expect to be asked to extend it
 - New functions + extra capabilities
 - Ported to a new environment
- 😊 Wow .. it would be nice if I could use that on my phone

Writing computer programs

- **Documentation**
 - Program statements in high level languages are inherently
 - Cryptic
 - Focus on minutiae – individual steps in a program
 - Usual strategy
 - Add comments to your code, particularly
 - Descriptions of
 - » Structures – purpose
 - » Functions – purpose and prototype
 - Documentation tools
 - →

Documentation tools

- **Many languages have tools which**
 - (semi-automatically) generate documentation
 - C++ – doxygen
 - Python – Sphinx, pdoc, pydoctor, doxygen
 - Rust – rustdoc
- **Generally, these will**
 - Parse your program
 - Detect functions or C++ classes and methods
 - Parse documentation attached to each element
 - Generate some ‘pretty-printed’ HTML or PDF files

rustdoc

- cargo has an interface to rustdoc
- In your working directory
(same one you use for cargo build)
`cargo doc`
- Look in the
`target/doc/src/<name of your directory>`
- You will find an `.html` file
 - Click on it to open your browser
 - or
 - Search for it with your browser
- If you don't add anything more,
you will get a pretty-printed copy of your `main.rs`
 - ☺ Perhaps you can submit the `.html` file for your assignment

rustdoc

Advance notice

- **Documentation is important**

- So, when I have studied the idiosyncrasies of rustdoc
- There will be a (hopefully short) lecture on using rustdoc
- Optimistically, rustdoc is simple as doxygen

So

- you can learn how to add some very pretty documentation quickly

AND be able to use it for a following assignment

- For now ..
- Try `rustdoc` on your current assignment

`cargo doc`

and

- Find your pretty-printed source file

Back to writing good code

- **Techniques to improve your code**

- Most of these apply to ANY high level language

1. Intelligible names for variables, constants, functions

☒ Short and meaningless names

- Functions name f1, g1,
- Names preceded by `my_<xyz>`
- Name unrelated to the purpose, *e.g.*

```
let Fluffy: f64 (name of your cat), etc
```

– Allowed

- Names derived from mathematical equations

- `x`, `y`, `z`, `x0`, `x1`,

- Indices

- `j`, `k`, `m`,

- Note that I exclude `I`, `l` from my list –

- Easily confused with `1`

Back to writing good code

- **Techniques to improve your code**
 - Most of these apply to ANY high level language
- 1. Intelligible names for variables, constants, functions**
 - ☒ Short and meaningless names .. continued
 - Even if you are a slow typist
 - Longer variable names save time eventually
 - 😊 You need to read your own code
 - 😊 Cut and paste saves typing mistakes too

Back to writing good code

2. Simple (rememberable) naming conventions

- **Make your own**, but then use them **consistently**
- Once your program grows, you will find that remembering trivia becomes harder ..
- Rust is extremely annoying in this respect
 - `rustc` tries to enforce rules that are NOT part of the formal syntax!
 - If the language designers thought such rules useful, they should be part of the formal syntax!!
 - Now `rustc` pushes you to use **an extra set** of rules!!
 - Annoyance #1 - warning to re-name a not yet used variable, `k`, as `_k`
 - ☹ But I was just checking my syntax before continuing
 - ☹ I will use it later!!!

Back to writing good code

2. Simple (rememberable) naming conventions

- **Make your own**, but then use them **consistently**
- Once your program grows, you will find that remembering

By the way ..

- Many of my comments are extremely critical of design strategies
- **IF** you think my comments are not valid,
- **DO NOT** be afraid to put your hand up and raise your voice
- My comments are based on personal experience and some biases,
e.g. from experiences with other languages, may be evident!
- Discussing your reasons (and standing up for them!) will benefit the whole class!!

not yet used variable, k, as `_k`

- ☹ But I was just checking my syntax before continuing
- ☹ I will use it later!!!

Back to writing good code

2. Simple (rememberable) naming conventions

- **Make your own**, but then use them **consistently**
- Once your program grows, you will find that remembering

One example of a personal preference

- Acquired from Java designers many years ago
- They starting naming 'getter' and 'setter' methods
`set<xxxx>` and `set<xxxx>`
- So for my shapes examples, I use names like
`setOrigin(Point)` and `getOrigin() ->Point`
consistently, for every new struct
- The consistency in my rule is **definitely a good thing**
but
- my choice of 'getXxxx' and 'setXxxx'
might be less attractive ☺
- So ...

the formal

ful,

rules!!

tinuing

Back to writing good code

2. Naming conventions ... more

- Formal syntax for names allows
 - Upper case – A, B, C, ...
 - Lower case – a, b, c, ..
 - Arabic numerals
 - –
- Mixed in any combination (except no leading numbers)
- Rust pushes you to follow some rules about
 - Camel case and
 - Snake case
- You can follow them *or*
- Make your own
- **Your code** will be considered ‘**good**’ if you are consistent and simple
- Maintainers of your code will (hopefully) follow them easily and

Back to writing good code

2. Naming conventions ... more

- Rules about camels or snakes or
- You can follow them *or*
- Make your own
- **Your code** will be considered ‘**good** 🏆’
if you are consistent and **simple**
- Maintainers of your code will (hopefully) follow them easily
and
- Be able to work efficiently with them

Consistency is the golden rule!!

Back to writing good code

3. No magic numbers

- Literals of any type
 - Numbers, e.g. 0.1, 2.87, ...
 - Strings, e.g. “Position”, “Name”,
 - Even structures, e.g. Point(0.0,0.0), ...
- Remember software is “**Soft**”
 - It can be (and will be) easily changed
 - Make sure all these literals are
 - Named (reasonably clear, intelligible name)
 - ✓ `viscosity_cal_factor: f64 = 0.876`
 - ✓ `interest_base_rate: f32 = 5.04`
 - Not
 - ✗ `factor, rate`

**In a complex system,
there may be several
similar constants**

Back to writing good code

3. No magic numbers

- In your string decoding exercise, I expected to see clearly named constants for the key characters, e.g.

```
const UC_zero : u32 = 0x40;  
const UC_nine : u32 = 0x49;
```

Many similar names OK ..
Depending on your style or
the application

- These constants are placed in a place where a maintainer can find them!
- Commonly, put them in a block at the head of your file
- Now code decoding numbers is trivially changed
 - Code itself requires NO changes
 - Just replace the Unicode constants
 - Now you can decode official Thai documents *or* Chinese numbers *or*

Back to writing good code

3. No magic numbers

- Similarly – in decoding your named values
- Separators are likely to change

```
const separatorA: = ':';
```

```
const separatorB: = ',';
```

- Here, choosing 'good' names may be trickier
 - No obvious purpose for the ':'

but

```
const s1: = ':';
```

```
const s2: = ',';
```

- will NOT help
- Fundamentally, design with the next reader/maintainer/user in mind

Class exercise

- Now your program needs to decode hexadecimal numbers too
- Usual representations add A, B, ... , F
 - but* their codes are NOT contiguous with codes for Arabic numbers
- Can you devise a simple, **easily maintained** scheme for handling them?
 - e.g. it should be trivially changed to allow a, b, .., f **instead**
- 30 minute in-class exercise
- Just **sketch** out your solution
 - Obviously it needs to fit **in a few lines of code**
- IF you can define a good solution,
+3 marks bonus on your final exam mark
 - Not much, but might change a 'B' to an 'A' 😊
- You will be asked to explain your solution to the class
- Hint: arrays or small functions are allowed
 - ☹ `match` statements will probably not score much

Class exercise

- Note:
 - This is an exercise in thinking HOW to make maintainable code**
- The idea that you propose should be transferable to other similar problems
- **IT IS NOT an exercise in searching Rust crates for canned solutions**

- Submit your solution on a (single) piece of paper
- Hopefully readable
 - team work allows you to nominate a team member with reasonable hand writing
- Add the names of all contributors

- Bonus exercise only .. If you can't do it ไม่เป็นไร
but learn from it, in case a similar question appears in the exam

Back to writing good code

4. Layout your code logically

- Indentations are the key contributor here
 - Loops and blocks help readability
- Blank lines help to group logical blocks together
- Eg a struct and its traits should be adjacent and
- Separated from the next struct
- However,
- DON'T waste lots of space
 - ☒ E.g. multiple blank lines .. One is sufficient
- Usually I want to view blocks of code on a single screen
- Rust's rustdoc generates a pretty-printed output
 - I assume it does this by parsing the whole program
 - Easy to do with a modern compiler-compiler
 - So it **probably** will indent blocks (loops, if, match, etc) ??

Writing good code

5. Logical structuring

- Basically raise the **abstraction level** of your code
 - Build small functions to solve low level problems
 - Use those functions to solve higher level problems
 - At each level, your code becomes more **abstract**
 - It solves larger problems
 - Moves further away from low level, trivial problems
- Fundamentally ..
 - No 10,000 lines of code programs!!
- Possible guide line ..
 - Each function should fit on a page
OR
 - A screen full of code
- Easier handling of code

Again view this as a
reasonable target
Vary this advice as appropriate

Writing good code

6. Testability

- Design for testing
- First strategy
- Add **assertions**
 - Briefly mentioned in lectures about formatting
 - Extensively added to Rust documentation
 - Assertions provide formal mathematical statements described required states at any point, e.g.
 - Sums should be non-zero

» e.g. in a triangle

- **Self checking code is so important**
- Another lecture will cover asserts
- A **really simple** idea, but
- Rust management of it is not so simple
- *C was much simpler, as you will learn later*

d
) ;
cs with a

Writing good code

6. Design for testing

- Second strategy
- Build functions into your code that test it
- Simple example
- Sort the elements in an array
 - But you need to provide the compare function
 - In Rust, there is are traits:
`Eq, Ord, PartialEq, Partial Ord`
 - A safe programmer will check that he or she used the trait

```
#[derive(Debug, Eq, Ord, PartialEq, PartialOrd)]  
struct Person { name: String, age: u32 }  
let mut people = vec![ .....];  
...  
people.sort()
```

- Because you need to **implement** the trait functions!!!

Writing good code

6. Design for testing

- Second strategy
 - Adding test functions

```
#[derive(Debug, Eq, Ord, PartialEq, PartialOrd)]
struct Person { name: String, age: u32 }
...
fn inOrder( vec<Person> ) -> bool { ... }

let mut people = vec![ ..... ];
...
people.sort()
assert!( inOrder( people ), "Sorting error" );
```

- `inOrder` function is only there to check your code!
- If it returns true, everything is OK 😊
- Otherwise, your code needs more work ☹️

Final word

- So far,
- Set of key points for writing good maintainable code
 - These are probably the most important ones
 - Also they are relevant for **ANY high level language**
 - For example:
 - assert's are found in C, C++, Python, Rust, ...
 - A page from a Python web-site has the title:

Python's assert:

Debug and Test Your Code Like a Pro

- **Getting that max\$ job**
- If you want to impress potential employers
- *Explaining that **you** know how to write maintainable code*
- *Is a key factor for many employers*
- ☹ Hackers are less welcome

